



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/551,120

09/23/2005

Thomas Huck

022862-1065

4091

23409 7590 02/10/2009  
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP  
100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE  
Suite 3300  
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202

EXAMINER

DESAI, NAISHADH N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2834

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/10/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/551,120		HUCK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NAISHADH N. DESAI		2834	

  

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NAISHADH N. DESAI. (3) David Price (reg# 31557).

(2) Dang Le. (4) Julieanne Smith (reg# 62174).

Date of Interview: 04 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 19 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendment appears to overcome current art of record. Further search and consideration may be required. Applicant to consider filing an request for continued examination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Quyen Leung/ SPE, Art Unit 2834
--	-------------------------------------